Spatial and temporal trends in the distribution of macronutrients in surface waters of the Drake Passage
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ABSTRACT. The Drake Passage (DP) links the Southern Pacific and Atlantic Oceans, and acts as a physical narrowing for the largest current of the World Ocean, the Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC). This results in a constriction of the main circumpolar fronts related to the ACC: the Subantarctic Front, the Polar Front, the Southern Antarctic Circumpolar Current Front and the Continental Water Boundary Front. Previous studies showed that the DP is a high-nutrient low-chlorophyll (HNLC) region, but studies on the interannual and seasonal macronutrients’ fluctuations in relation with chlorophyll and frontal areas in the DP are scarce. This study analyzes the spatial and temporal trends of nitrate, phosphate, and silicate on surface samples from 113 oceanographic stations in the DP during summer and early fall of 2001 to 2004, in relation with the different fronts and chlorophyll-a concentration. The results revealed an increase of nutrients towards the south. Nitrate showed values from 3 µM at 55° S to 27 µM at 63° S, whereas values from 0.3 µM at 55° S to 2.6 µM at 63° S were observed for phosphate. Silicate increased considerably south of Polar Front, from 0 µM at 55° S to 74 µM at 62° S. The nutrients concentration was significantly higher in summer than in early fall and showed a trend to decrease over the studied years. A complex sum of biogeochemical processes would generate the main seasonal trends, while atmospheric-oceanic processes such as Southern Annular Mode and El Niño Southern Oscillation, would be responsible of observed nutrients interannual variability.
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RESUMEN: Tendencias espaciales y temporales en la distribución de macronutrientes en aguas superficiales del Pasaje Drake. El Pasaje de Drake (DP) une los océanos Pacífico y Atlántico Sur, y actúa como un estrechamiento físico de la mayor corriente del Océano Mundial, la corriente circumpolar antártica (ACC). Esto crea una constricción entre los principales frentes circumpolares: el Frente Subantártico, el Frente Polar, el Frente Sur de la Corriente Circumpolar Antártica y el Frente del Límite de Aguas Continentales. Estudios previos mostraron que el PD es una región de nutrientes altos y clorofila baja (HNLC en inglés), pero los estudios centrados en las fluctuaciones interanuales y estacionales de macronutrientes en relación con la clorofila y las áreas frontales son escasos. Este estudio analiza las tendencias espaciales y temporales de la concentración de nitrato, fosfato y silicato en relación con los diferentes frentes y la concentración de clorofila-a, derivadas del análisis de muestras colectadas en 113 estaciones oceanográficas realizadas en aguas superficiales del PD durante el verano y principios del otoño de 2001 a 2004. Los resultados revelaron un incremento de los nutrientes hacia el sur. El nitrato mostró valores de 3 µM a los 55° S a 27 µM a los 63° S, mientras que para el fosfato se observaron valores de 0.3 µM a 55° S hasta 2.6 µM a los 63° S. El silicato aumentó considerablemente al sur del Frente Polar, desde 0 µM a los 55° S hasta 74 µM a los 62° S. La concentración de nutrientes fue significativamente mayor en verano que en otoño y mostró una tendencia a disminuir en los años estudiados. Una suma compleja de procesos biogeoquímicos generaría las principales tendencias estacionales, mientras que procesos atmosféricos-oceánicos como el Modo Anular del Sur y El Niño Oscilación Sur, serían responsables de la variabilidad interanual observada en los nutrientes.
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INTRODUCTION

Deep ocean waters at high latitudes are the main reservoir of marine nutrients. In deep waters, nutrient concentration in lithogenic and biogenic sediments is high, while biological consumption is low (Levitus et al. 1993). When these nutrients get dissolved, upwelling, advection and convection processes transport them to the surface, from where currents distribute them to the tropics. Inorganic nutrient concentration decreases toward the Equator (Sanders & Jickells 2000) and is limited by the carrying capacity of the ocean currents and the rates of consumption by primary producers.

The Antarctic Circumpolar Current (ACC) surrounds the Antarctic continent in a continuous, uninterrupted flow (Barker et al. 2007). Its southern sector contains high concentrations of nutrients (García et al. 2010). When the ACC reaches the Drake Passage (DP), the narrowing produced by Antarctica and the southern tip of South America causes an increase in flow velocity (Guerrero et al. 1999) and the consequent strengthening of the main circumpolar ocean fronts (Sprintall 2003). These fronts, from south to north, are: 1) the Continental Water Boundary Front (CWBF), which is the northern limit of a cold water mass near the South Shetland Islands (Peterson & Stramma 1991; Orsi et al. 1995); 2) the Southern Antarctic Circumpolar Current Front (SACCF), which roughly extends along the Antarctic slope and deviates slightly to the north at 56° W (Orsi et al. 1995); 3) the Polar Front (PF), formed by the convergence of Antarctic and Subantarctic waters (Deacon 1984; Orsi et al. 1995; Moore et al. 1999; Artamonov et al. 2004), where strong chemical gradients are evident (e.g., concentration of silicate [Kuramoto & Koyama 1982; Watanabe 1982; DeMaster 2001]), and which acts as a major global biogeographic barrier, at least for the vast majority of planktonic organisms (Alder & Thompson 2000; Boltovskoy et al. 2005; Santoferrara & Alder 2009; Olguin & Alder 2011), and 4) the Subantarctic Front (SAF) (Orsi et al. 1995; Klinck & Nowlin 2001) defining the northern boundary of the ACC. These fronts and the areas between them have been well characterized based on their physical properties (Whitworth 1980; Tréguer & Jacques 1992; Orsi et al. 1995; Whitehouse et al. 2000; Barker et al. 2007; Zhang & Klinck 2008).

Numerous publications show nutrient concentrations in the DP and adjacent areas (e.g., Holm-Hansen et al. 1997; Brandini et al. 2000; Kim et al. 2004; Hendry et al. 2008; Lara et al. 2010; Munro et al. 2015). However, until now no study has addressed the issue in a broad spatio-temporal scale and in a multidisciplinary way. The goal of this study is to identify the spatial and temporal trends in the nitrate, phosphate and silicate distribution in surface waters of the DP during summer and early fall, from 2001 to 2004, in conjunction with variations in temperature, salinity and chlorophyll-a.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Water samples were collected from 113 oceanographic stations during the cruises of the icebreaker “Almirante Irízar” in the DP during summer and early fall of 2001 to 2004 (Figure 1). Discrete samples for the analysis of nutrients and chlorophyll-a were collected every 3 h. Seawater was obtained from a pumping system placed at 9 m depth. This system was, in turn, connected to a thermosalinometer (Sea Bird Electronics 37SI) that measured salinity and temperature continuously.

For nutrient analyses, seawater from each station was filtered through Whatman GF/F filters and stored in 250 ml polyethylene bottles previously washed with neutral detergent, 5% HCl, and rinsed with distilled water. Samples were kept at -20 °C until analysis, which was done immediately after each cruise at the Instituto Antártico Argentino, Buenos Aires, Argentina. Nitrate, nitrite and phosphate were measured according to Strickland & Parsons (1972), with modifications for the analysis with the Technicon II autoanalyzer. As nitrite concentrations were very low and the nitrate technique measures nitrate + nitrite, the concentration of nitrite + nitrate is expressed as nitrate. Silicate measurements were made following the method proposed by Technicon (1977). Chlorophyll-a concentrations were determined on the same water source used for nutrients, by filtering 2 L of seawater onto Whatman GF/F filters and stored in 250 ml polyethylene bottles previously washed with neutral detergent, 5% HCl, and rinsed with distilled water. Samples were kept at -20 °C until analysis, which was done immediately after each cruise at the Instituto Nacional Patagónico, Chubut, Argentina. Nitrate, nitrite and phosphate were measured according to Strickland & Parsons (1972), with modifications for the analysis with the Technicon II autoanalyzer. As nitrite concentrations were very low and the nitrate technique measures nitrate + nitrite, the concentration of nitrite + nitrate is expressed as nitrate. Silicate measurements were made following the method proposed by Technicon (1977). Chlorophyll-a concentrations were determined on the same water source used for nutrients, by filtering 2 L of seawater onto Whatman GF/F filters and stored in 250 ml polyethylene bottles previously washed with neutral detergent, 5% HCl, and rinsed with distilled water. Samples were kept at -20 °C until analysis, which was done immediately after each cruise at the Instituto Antártico Argentino, Buenos Aires, Argentina. After adding 8 mL of 90% acetone and maintained in a refrigerated and dark place for 24 h, the extracts were read in a Beckman DU 650 spectrophotometer. Pigment concentrations were calculated according to Strickland & Parsons (1972).
Cluster Analysis on a Bray-Curtis similarity matrix with unpaired group mean average amalgamation was used to explore similarities in physical, chemical and biological conditions (temperature, salinity, nitrate, phosphate, silicate and chlorophyll-a) within the DP. Data were previously normalized.

The analysis of temporal fluctuations in nutrient concentrations was based on the selection of transects as illustrated in Figure 2.
2. The degree of statistical significance of the spatial and temporal fluctuations was estimated by applying a one-way ANOVA test. In all cases, normality and homogeneity of variances were tested previously. Whenever needed, subsequent comparisons of unequal samples were made by using a Tukey test.

RESULTS

The location of fronts was revealed by pronounced surface thermal gradients (up to 0.055 °C/km) (Figure 3). From North to South, the strongest thermal gradients detected correspond to the SAF (at ~56° S), the PF (between 58 and 59° S), the SCCF (at ~60.5° S), and the CBW (at ~61° S), with the PF showing the largest spatial variability.

High temperatures (>6 °C) and low salinities (<33.9) detected in surface waters north of the PF correspond to Subantarctic waters (Figure 4). The zone of transition from Subantarctic to Antarctic temperatures (4-5 °C range) was found to cover a larger area in early fall as compared to summer, while salinity was in the range of 33.8-33.9 with similar areas in both seasons. Next to the Antarctic continent, the temperature dropped (<2 °C), while differing salinity values were found between summer (>33.8) and early fall (<33.6).

North of the PF, nutrient concentration was lower in both summer and early fall (Figure 4). In summer, nitrate was <10 µM near the South American Shelf (SAS), in the 18-21 µM range north of the PF, and 27 µM south of the PF. A similar feature was evident in phosphate concentration, presenting lower values near the SAS (<1 µM), intermediate values north of the PF (1.1 µM to 1.4 µM), and higher concentrations south of the PF (1.5 µM to 2.0 µM). Silicate concentration was <8 µM north of the PF, but the values changed dramatically south of the PF, increasing from 10 µM to 75 µM. In early fall, nitrate concentration near the SAS was somewhat higher than in summer, and the values of nitrate north of the PF were lower than those measured in summer. A similar trend was observed south of the PF. Phosphate and silicate showed analogous characteristics as in summer but with somewhat lower values.

As mentioned above, the analysis of temporal fluctuations in nutrient concentration was based on the selection of transects as illustrated in Figure 2. The seasonal variation was tested in transects performed in the same year. Nutrient concentrations differed significantly between summer and early fall. In all cases, no significant variations were detected between February and March. In 2002, nitrate concentration in February was significantly higher than in April ($P<0.05$), while March concentrations were in the intermediate range. In 2003, phosphate concentrations were significantly higher in March than in April ($P<0.05$), while February concentrations presented intermediate values. In 2004, no significant variations were detected between months.

The interannual variation was tested in transects performed during the same month. In February and March, phosphate concentration was significantly higher in 2003 than in 2004 ($P<0.05$). In March also, significantly higher concentrations of nitrate were observed in 2002 than in 2004 ($P<0.05$). During April, however, there were no differences between years.

Chlorophyll-a concentration in summer was <0.5 µg/L throughout the DP (Figure 4). Only a few stations, near the continental shelves, showed higher values. In the case of South America, it reached 8.5 µg/L. Chlorophyll-a distribution in early fall was similar to that of summer; the few stations with slightly higher values never exceeded 1.0 µg/L.

The result of a cluster analysis based on nutrients, chlorophyll-a, temperature and salinity for summer and early fall is summarized in Figure 5. The geographical representation of clusters allows defining four groups of stations for each period and the overlapping of the clusters derived from summer and early fall: from North to South, the South American Coastal Zone (SACZ), the Polar Front Zone (PFZ), the Permanent Open Ocean Zone (POOZ), and the Seasonal Ice Zone (SIZ). The main features of each zone for summer and fall are presented in Table 1. Significant differences were evident in temperature and salinity data ($P<0.05$) between all analyzed zones, with the exception of salinity in the SIZ and the POOZ during fall, where no differences were observed. Similarly, chlorophyll-a showed significant differences ($P<0.05$) in all tested areas, with one exception in fall between POOZ and PFZ.

Macronutrients behaved differently in each case. In summer, nitrate, phosphate and silicate showed significant differences ($P<0.05$) between SACZ and PFZ, but not...
Figure 3. Latitudinal distribution of temperature (black) and thermal gradient (red) in surface waters of the Drake Passage.

Figura 3. Distribución latitudinal de temperatura (negro) y gradiente térmico (rojo) en las aguas superficiales del Pasaje Drake.
Figure 4. Temperature, salinity, macronutrients and chlorophyll-a distribution in surface waters of the Drake Passage. Left column: summer; right column: early fall.

Figura 4. Distribución de temperatura, salinidad, macronutrientes y clorofila-a en aguas superficiales del Pasaje Drake. Columna izquierda: verano; columna derecha: principios del otoño
Figure 5. Cluster Analysis for summer and early fall, their corresponding geographical representation, and both plots shown simultaneously. Four zones are differentiated. Yellow: SACZ (South American Continental Zone); green: PFZ (Polar Front Zone); red: POOZ (Permanent Open Ocean Zone), and sky blue: SIZ (Seasonal Ice Zone). Straight lines show the mean position of fronts: SAF (Subantarctic Front); PF (Polar Front); SACCF (Southern Antarctic Circumpolar Current Front) and CWBF (Continental Water Boundary Front).

Figura 5. Análisis de agrupamiento para verano y principios de otoño, su representación geográfica correspondiente, y ambas imágenes simultáneamente. Se diferencian cuatro zonas. Amarillo: SACZ (Zona Continental Sudamericana); verde: PFZ (Zona del Frente Polar); rojo: POOZ (Zona Oceánica Permanentemente Abierta), y celeste: SIZ (Zona de Hielos Permanentes). Las líneas rectas muestran la posición media de los frentes: SAF (Frente Subantártico); PF (Frente Polar); SACCF (Frente Sur de la Corriente Circumpolar Antártica) y CWBF (Frente del Límite de las Aguas Continentales).
in fall. Similarly, nutrients always showed significant differences ($P<0.05$) between POOZ and PFZ, with one exception in summer, when nitrate showed no differences. Finally, in the comparison between POOZ and SIZ, only silicate showed significant differences ($P<0.05$).

### DISCUSSION

Our results show a wide variation in nutrient concentration for the PF, with significantly higher values south of it. Seasonal variability in the location of the PF was observed through thermal gradients (Figure 2), reaching more than 100 km southward in March with respect to February. However, its position in April was similar to that of March, and that is why differences are not observed in Figure 3. According to Sprintall (2003), there is a slight trend for the PF to being farthest south in austral summer, and farthest north in austral spring. We expected a low seasonal variability in the position of the PF jointly with intense features (increased width and temperature change across the front) due to the bathymetry of the DP. Spatial displacements have been observed more frequently during summer and fall (Moore et al. 1999). Moreover, due to variation in tracks across the PF in the DP, it is difficult to partition temporal from spatial variability in their location. Despite of that spatial changes among years would be strongly correlated to both zonal wind stress and wind stress curl in the southeast Pacific Ocean (Sprintall 2003), no significant spatial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SACZ</th>
<th>PFZ</th>
<th>POOZ</th>
<th>SACZ</th>
<th>PFZ</th>
<th>POOZ</th>
<th>SIZ</th>
<th>PFZ</th>
<th>POOZ</th>
<th>SIZ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temperature</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>°C</td>
<td>n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.12</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min.</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max.</td>
<td>10.07</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Salinity**   |      |     |      |      |     |      |      |     |      |      |
| µM             | n    |     |      |      |     |      |      |     |      |      |
| Mean           | 32.84| 33.88| 33.64| 33.88| 33.65| 33.93| 33.66| 33.66| 33.71|
| SD             | 0.86 | 0.54|      | 1.03 | 0.91| 0.99 | 0.27 |     |      |      |
| Min.           | 32.62| 33.69| 33.40| 33.79| 33.49| 33.52| 33.52| 33.52| 33.49|
| Max.           | 33.30| 34.05| 33.86| 33.98| 33.86| 34.03| 33.90| 33.90| 33.93|
| **Nitrate**    |      |     |      |      |     |      |      |     |      |      |
| µM             | n    |     |      |      |     |      |      |     |      |      |
| Mean           | 7.18 | 20.20| 21.47| 12.28| 16.17| 20.71| 24.06|     |      |      |
| SD             | 3.43 | 5.11|      | 3.11 | 6.64| 4.25 | 3.17 |     |      |      |
| Min.           | 2.80 | 7.84|      | 9.21 | 0.22| 13.03| 19.69|     |      |      |
| Max.           | 11.20| 24.26| 26.63| 16.41| 22.85| 26.07| 26.74|     |      |      |

| **Phosphate**  |      |     |      |      |     |      |      |     |      |      |
| µM             | n    |     |      |      |     |      |      |     |      |      |
| Mean           | 0.77 | 1.42| 1.56 | 1.54 | 1.29| 1.63 | 1.60 |     |      |      |
| SD             | 0.32 | 0.23|      | 0.32 | 0.24| 0.22 | 0.17 |     |      |      |
| Min.           | 0.32 | 0.95| 1.08 | 1.32 | 0.88| 1.07 | 1.35 |     |      |      |
| Max.           | 1.10 | 2.58| 1.98 | 2.17 | 1.55| 1.99 | 1.79 |     |      |      |

| **Silicate**   |      |     |      |      |     |      |      |     |      |      |
| µM             | n    |     |      |      |     |      |      |     |      |      |
| Mean           | 1.27 | 3.23| 25.85| 55.05| 2.01| 4.29 | 17.34| 37.43|      |      |
| SD             | 0.67 | 11.22| 12.24| 15.92| 1.56| 2.20 | 5.34 | 6.00 |      |      |
| Min.           | 0.41 | 0.00| 9.52 | 35.77| 0.40| 0.00 | 5.61 | 30.50|      |      |
| Max.           | 2.05 | 22.50| 46.92| 74.67| 3.53| 6.55 | 23.05| 44.97|      |      |

| **Chlorophyll-a** |      |     |      |      |     |      |      |     |      |      |
| µg/L             | n    |     |      |      |     |      |      |     |      |      |
| Mean             | 4.10 | 0.21| 0.37 | 1.38 | 0.44| 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.44 |      |      |
| SD               | 3.81 | 0.40|      | 0.43 | 0.06| 0.10 | 0.36 |     |      |      |
| Min.             | 0.96 | 0.00| 0.00 | 0.96 | 0.11| 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.09 |      |      |
| Max.             | 9.26 | 1.92|      | 2.03 | 0.75| 0.24 | 0.32 | 0.93 |      |      |

Table 1. General features of the areas yielded by the cluster analysis. SACZ: South American Coastal Zone, PFZ: Polar Front Zone, POOZ: Permanent Open Ocean Zone and SIZ: Seasonal Ice Zone.

changes among years were observed in our data.

High concentrations of macronutrients were found in the Seasonal Ice Zone (SIZ), in accordance with previous works (Holm-Hansen et al. 1997; Castro et al. 2002; Serebrennikova & Fanning 2004). In summer, silicate concentration reaches ~70 µM, a value comparable to that provided by Hendry et al. (2008). Other authors had observed low concentrations of nutrients in coincidence with phytoplankton blooms (Smith et al. 2008; Tréguer & Jacques 1992), but during our cruises chlorophyll concentrations within the SIZ were low while macronutrients were always high.

The Permanent Open Ocean Zone (POOZ) was characterized by a gradient in silicate concentrations, which increased south of the PF, as previously described by Tréguer & Jacques (1992). Chemical species under study were normally high according to previous works (Brandini et al. 2000; DeMaster 2001; Castro et al. 2002; Kim et al. 2004), and despite the usually high standard deviation, they never reached limiting values for primary producers. Even so, this zone showed extremely low chlorophyll-a values, which was also the case in previous studies (Smith et al. 2008). This had led to the postulation of many hypotheses about other possible limiting factors for primary production, like iron depletion or a great depth of the mixed layer (Martin et al. 1990; Mitchell et al. 1991; Holm-Hansen et al. 1994).

An interesting inference emerges from the extension of these results. In theory, interfrontal zones of the ACC are characterized by similar properties around the Antarctic continent. However, this generalization would not apply to silicate concentration in the POOZ when the results for the DP are compared with those for the Indian sector (Lowanchi et al. 2001). The DP exhibited exceptionally high concentrations of silicate, which might be due to the inflow of North Atlantic Deep Water in the Atlantic sector (see Berger 2007).

The Polar Front Zone (PFZ) showed a decreasing trend from South to North in nitrate, phosphate and silicate. As in the POOZ, chlorophyll-a values were low, nutrients were high, and silicate was particularly higher than in other longitudes (Franck et al. 2000; Brzezinski et al. 2001; Sigmon et al. 2002; Coale et al. 2003; Leblanc et al. 2005; Brzezinski et al. 2005). This is supported by other studies carried out in the DP, which reported that silicate concentration were never limiting (Brandini 2000; Kim et al. 2004).

In the South American Coastal Zone (SACZ), nutrient concentrations were among the lowest registered in this work. Simultaneously, chlorophyll-a reached the highest measured values. This could be explained by a higher consumption of nutrients by phytoplankton due to better conditions for its growth within the continental shelf north of the sub-Antarctic Front, where there is a decrease in the mixing layer depth, an increase in temperature, and an increase in micronutrients such as iron (Klunder et al. 2014).

Climatological data of the DP show a higher concentration of phosphate and silicate in winter as compared to summer (García et al. 2010; Munro et al. 2015). However, none of the previous studies allows knowing when the minimum of macronutrient takes place. Our results show that nitrate and phosphate concentrations in the DP were somewhat lower in early fall than in summer. That minimum is determined by a complex sum of processes, which varies from year to year. The variations in sea ice distribution and the freshwater inputs from melting sea ice and glacial ice are the dominant influences on biogeochemical processes in Antarctic coastal systems (Vernet et al. 2008; Ducklow et al. 2013). The extent of the ice field surrounding the Antarctic continent in winter is close to 20x106 km², but it drops in summer to 4x106 km² (Arrigo et al. 1997). This exposes 16x106 km² of sea surface to interact with strong winds. Furthermore, this ice-free surface includes the area of greater upwelling, which is the SACCF (Martinson et al. 2008). This would lead to preserve the concentration of macronutrients in surface waters during summer (Smith et al. 2008). In early fall, the ice cover begins to grow and macronutrients decrease. However, this process occurs simultaneously with the drop in temperature and the increase of macronutrients by overall mixing of the water column. As a consequence, the minimum of macronutrients would take place in early fall.

The processes that determine annual changes did not follow predictable patterns like temperature variations in different seasons. It has been hypothesized that the greater influx of warmer Upper Circumpolar Deep Water (UCDW) from the ACC is the consequence of
strengthening and southward-shifting winds over the Southern Ocean, often quantified by the movement of the Southern Annular Mode (SAM) to a more positive state. These atmospheric changes could induce stronger upwelling (Waugh et al. 2013) and onshelf flow at the Western Antarctic Peninsula shelf break and, hence, permit greater quantities of warmer water to intrude along the canyons toward the coast. Between the CWBF and the tip of the Antarctic Peninsula, intense winds drive an Ekman northward transport and a consequent Ekman pumping. This situation increases $pCO_2$ and Chlorophyll (Bianchi et al. 2009) and probably nutrient concentrations by upwelling. On the other hand, the region between Tierra del Fuego and the SAF shows high chlorophyll values. Therefore, an enhanced phytoplankton concentration can lead to lower nutrients due to consumption. The SAM is also strongly implicated in the direct atmospherically forced changes impacting the area, along with the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) phenomenon via teleconnections with the tropical Pacific. Movement of the SAM to a more positive state is a well known phenomenon (Marshall et al. 2004; Thompson et al. 2011), and it is increasingly seen that the depletion of stratospheric ozone is a key factor in this forcing, along with contributions from greenhouse gas emissions (Lee & Feldstein 2013).

The annual trend of nutrient concentration is closely related with the advance and retreat of sea ice that surrounds the Antarctic continent (Dierssen et al. 2002). The annual changes in seasonality of ice fields are mainly driven by El Niño Southern Oscillation (Ducklow 2008; Stammerjohn et al. 2008; Smith et al. 2008; Martinson et al. 2008). The atmospheric response to “El Niño” in the DP are weak southerly winds, while during “La Niña” strong northwesterly winds are the response during spring to fall (Smith et al. 2008). When southern winds are weak, the retreat of ice during spring—particularly offshore—is delayed. On the other hand, strong winds from northwest lead to a quick retreat of offshore ice and pile-up of onshore ice. During “El Niño”, ACC fronts are displaced northward. This results in reducing the mixing of coastal and oceanic waters. During “La Niña”, ACC fronts are displaced southward. This results in increased mixing between coastal and oceanic waters (Ducklow et al. 2013).

This study shows nutrients concentration decreasing over the years in the DP. Year 2001 was the last of a three- “La Niña” year’s series (Smith et al. 2008; Sprintall et al. 2008). Also, in that year, exceptional circumstances prevailed in the ice field of Antarctic Peninsula because of abnormalities in positive atmospheric pressure in the South Atlantic Ocean and negative atmospheric pressure in the Bellingshausen and Weddell Seas. This created a persistently strong flow of warm moist air from NNW over the Antarctic Peninsula (Massom et al. 2006). These conditions led to a quick and extensive retreat of the ice field (Turner et al. 2002). As a consequence, water column mixing was intensified and the upwelling of deep water strengthened (Martinson et al. 2008), leading to increased macronutrient concentrations in surface waters.

The remaining years covered by the present study (2002 to 2004) behaved like “El Niño” years (Massom et al. 2008). The progressive decrease in surface nutrient concentrations in DP waters can be explained by conditions associated with this climatic event in those years, as described above.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The spatial and temporal trends of nitrate, phosphate and silicate in surface waters of the Drake Passage during summer and early fall from 2001 to 2004 were studied. Because these nutrients are transported all around the Antarctic continent by the Antarctic Circumpolar Current and feed primary production and phytoplankton biomass, the area of its potential influence is very large. Nutrient concentrations showed a minimum in early fall due to a complex sum of processes. In terms of years, nutrient concentrations show a decreasing trend. These variations are seemingly related to climatic processes such as Southern Annular Mode and El Niño Southern Oscillation, which regulate the ice fields and their relation to winds and the upwelling of bottom waters in the Southern Antarctic Circumpolar Current Front and the Antarctic Slope. A substantial part of the DP behaved during the studied seasons as a HNLC area. In contrast, nutrients were low and productivity high near the South America margin, suggesting that crustal-derived micronutrients such as iron are limiting phytoplankton growth in the DP. Although the zonation of the frontal and interfrontal areas has been described before based on physical measurements, here we show that the zonation is also evident in nutrient concentrations.
concentrations. The fronts of the Antarctic Circumpolar Current behave as barriers dividing zones with distinctive features. Given the ample diversity of the biological species in these zones, chemical measurements are an essential complement to understand their spatial and temporal dynamics.
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